

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,160	07/21/2003	Atsushi Takai	500.30802CC4	2704	
20457	7590 06/29/2005	EXAMINER			
	I, TERRY, STOUT & KI	SINGH, D	SINGH, DALZID E		
SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2633		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/623	,160	TAKAI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit			
		Dalzid S	_	2633			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	'ess		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mety filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 February</i> 2	2005.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to specific the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. Se uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•		
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur nal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receive cule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	52)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	,	6) 🔲 Other:				

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 35-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki (US Patent No. 4,845,703).

Regarding claims 35 and 46, Suzuki discloses optical transmission system, as shown in Fig. 1, comprising:

an optical frequency selection unit (40) for selecting an optical signal of a first optical frequency (the optical signal of a first frequency, such as  $\lambda 1$ -  $\lambda 4$ , is selected by the optical selection unit); and

an optical frequency conversion unit (30 or 50) for converting said first optical frequency to a second optical frequency (see col. 6, lines 1-21).

Suzuki discloses transmission and reception of optical signal from subscribers or terminals and differs from the claimed invention in that Suzuki does not specifically disclose that the optical signal correspond to a particular apparatus. However, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign specific optical signal to correspond to a particular apparatus or terminal. For example, a new optical signal can be assign to a particular apparatus to

Art Unit: 2633

carry specific bandwidth. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do such in order to provide reliable communication system.

Regarding claims 36 and 47, as discussed above, Suzuki discloses the optical frequency selection unit (40) and optical frequency conversion unit (30 or 50) and differs from the claimed invention in that Suzuki does not specifically disclose that the optical signal correspond to a particular apparatus. However, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign specific optical signal to correspond to a particular apparatus or terminal.

Regarding claims 37 and 48, as shown in Fig. 2, Suzuki discloses a wavelength demultiplexer (20) for demultiplexing said plurality of optical signals which are transmitted in a multiplexed manner on an optical transmission line connecting said first apparatus and said transmission apparatus.

Regarding claims 38 and 49, as shown in Fig. 2, Suzuki discloses a wavelength multiplexer (10) for multiplexing a plurality of optical signals each having said second optical frequency.

Regarding claims 39 and 50, as shown in Fig. 2, Suzuki discloses a control unit (60) for allotting frequency to apparatus and indicating to said optical frequency conversion unit that said second optical frequency is allotted to the apparatus.

Regarding claims 40 and 51, Suzuki discloses that the control unit is notified from said apparatus that said optical frequency corresponds to apparatus, and said control unit indicates to said optical frequency selection unit that said optical frequency corresponds to said apparatus (see col. 5, lines 16-35 and col. 6, lines 1-21, lines 62-68

Art Unit: 2633

to col. 7, lines 1-40; optical signal is selected based on the address location of the optical signal which is transmitter from a source station to a destination station) and differs from the claimed invention in that Suzuki does not specifically disclose that the optical signal correspond to a particular apparatus. However, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign specific optical signal to correspond to a particular apparatus or terminal.

Regarding claims 41 and 52, as discussed above, Suzuki discloses a control unit for allotting frequency to said apparatuses and indicating to said optical frequency conversion unit that said optical frequency is allotted to said second and this apparatuses (see col. 5, lines 16-35 and col. 6, lines 1-21, lines 62-68 to col. 7, lines 1-40; it would have been obvious that in determining idle channel, the control unit determined that a particular wavelength is allotted to a particular apparatus).

Regarding claim 42, Suzuki discloses optical transmission system, as shown in Fig. 2, comprising:

a control unit (60) for allotting a optical frequency to an optical signal to be transmitted from apparatus among said plurality of apparatuses; and

an optical frequency conversion unit (30 or 50) for converting said optical frequency of said optical signal transmitted from apparatus to optical frequency corresponding to apparatus.

Suzuki discloses transmission and reception of optical signal from subscribers or terminals and differs from the claimed invention in that Suzuki does not specifically disclose that the optical signal correspond to a particular apparatus. However, it would

Art Unit: 2633

have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign specific optical signal to correspond to a particular apparatus or terminal. For example, a new optical signal can be assign to a particular apparatus to carry specific bandwidth. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do such in order to provide reliable communication system.

Regarding claim 43, Suzuki discloses that the control unit allot said optical frequency to each of optical signals to be transmitted from said apparatus and a this apparatus among said plurality of apparatuses, and said optical frequency conversion unit convert said first optical frequency (see col. 5, lines 16-35 and col. 6, lines 1-21, lines 62-68 to col. 7, lines 1-40; optical signal is selected based on the address location of the optical signal which is transmitter from a source station to a destination station) and differs from the claimed invention in that Suzuki does not specifically disclose that the optical signal correspond to a particular apparatus. However, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign specific optical signal to correspond to a particular apparatus or terminal..

Regarding claim 44, as shown in Fig. 2, Suzuki discloses a wavelength multiplexer (10) for multiplexing said optical signal of said optical frequency and said optical signal of said another optical frequency.

Regarding claim 45, as shown in Fig. 2, Suzuki discloses that the control unit (60) is notified from said apparatus that said optical frequency corresponds to said another apparatus (see col. 5, lines 16-35 and col. 6, lines 1-21, lines 62-68 to col. 7,

Art Unit: 2633

lines 1-40; it would have been obvious that in determining idle channel, the control unit determined that a particular wavelength is allotted to a particular apparatus).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 45-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Suzuki et al (US Patent No. 5,018,130) is cited to show high-speed optical packet switching.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3029. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272--3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2633

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DS June 25, 2005

Dabsid Single